Overview

Segmented Filamentous Bacteria: Commensal Microbes with Potential Effects on Research

Aaron C Ericsson,^{1-3,*} Catherine E Hagan,³ Daniel J Davis,³ and Craig L Franklin^{1,3}

Segmented filamentous bacteria (SFB) are commensal bacteria that were first identified in the ilea of mice and rats. Morphologically similar bacteria occur in a broad range of host species, but all strains have been refractory to in vitro culture thus far. Although SFB were once considered innocuous members of the intestinal microbiota of laboratory rodents, they are now known to affect the development of the immune system in rodents and, subsequently, the phenotype of models of both enteric and extraintestinal disease. Therefore, SFB represent long-recognized commensal bacteria serving as an intercurrent variable in studies using rodent models of disease. Here we describe the basic biology of SFB and discuss the immunologic and physiologic effects of colonization with SFB, with particular attention to their effects on rodent models of disease. In addition, we propose that SFB represent only the 'tip of the iceberg' in our understanding of the influence of the microbiota on model phenotypes. As next-generation sequencing techniques are increasingly used to investigate organisms that are refractory to culture, we are likely to identify other commensal microbes that alter the models we use. This review underscores the need to characterize such host-microbe interactions, given that animal research represents a critical tool that is particularly vulnerable to scrutiny in an era of decreasing financial resources and increasing accountability for the use of animal models.

Abbreviations: SFB, segmented filamentous bacteria; TLR, Toll-like receptor.

Almost half of a century ago, a few astute microscopists reported a unique microbe that remained securely attached to the epithelium of the ileum in mice (Mus musculus) and rats (Rattus norvegicus) despite the removal of other luminal contents.^{32,69,71,72} Although the microbe was first postulated to be a fungus in light of its size and unusual morphology,⁶⁹ electron microscopy soon revealed the organism to be a segmented bacterium containing 'round forms,' some of which appeared to be in the process of dividing. In addition, one end of the microbe appeared to be specialized for integration into and attachment to the epithelial brush border. A very similar organism in the ileum of chickens (Gallus domesticus)25 and dogs (Canis familiaris) was soon reported,¹² although the relationship among the microbes from the various hosts remained unclear. The first report of the habitat, ultrastructural morphology, and proposed life cycle of what are now commonly referred to as segmented filamentous bacteria (SFB) was published in 1974.13 There are abundant anecdotal reports among users of animal models regarding the loss or alteration of model phenotypes when using animals purchased from different vendors or when housing animals under different husbandry conditions. These changes have often been attributed to genetic drift of rodent strains maintained at different institutions or to unknown factors of the host microbiota. Recent studies comparing mice from different vendors19,37,38 have identified SFB as a pivotal member of the commensal microbiota that affects the ontogeny and function of the host

Received: 23 Jul 2013. Revision requested: 23 Aug 2013. Accepted: 15 Sep 2013. ¹Mutant Mouse Regional Resource Center (MMRRC), ²Rat Resource and Research Center (RRRC), and ³Department of Veterinary Pathobiology, University of Missouri, Columbia, Missouri. immune system. Accordingly, what was once an incidental finding on histologic examination of the gastrointestinal tract should now be considered a variable with the potential to affect outcomes in several disease models. Identification of involved models and the extent to which they are affected is a critical need in biomedical research.

Unique Morphology

SFB are gram-positive, spore-forming, filamentous bacteria ranging between 0.7 and 1.8 µm in diameter and as long as 80 µm in length¹⁰ that selectively colonize the ilea of mice and rats shortly before weaning^{43,71} (Figure 1). The first segment of the microbe possesses a nipple-like appendage, called a holdfast, that projects into the plasma membrane of the enterocyte, without actually rupturing or penetrating the host cell wall^{4,10,13,21,79} (Figure 2). It should be noted, however, that attachment of SFB induces focal displacement of the microvillar brush border and alterations in the electron-density of the enterocyte plasma membrane and apical cytoplasm. Actin polymerization occurs at the apical surface of enterocytes at the site of attachment,⁴⁰ suggesting pedestal formation, an active host cellular response similar to that induced by invasive or adherent pathogens such as Escherichia coli²² and Salmonella typhimurium.²³ The remainder of the microbe is septate, with each mature segment of the body containing between 0 and 2, but typically 1, intrasegmental body, originally thought to be spores.

Presumed Life Cycle and Transmission

There are 2 morphologically distinct types of intrasegmental bodies that are either developing holdfasts or spores, suggesting

 $[`]Corresponding\ author.\ Email:\ ericssona@missouri.edu$



Figure 1. Photomicrographs of a section of segmented filamentous bacteria (SFB) in the ileum of a weanling (age, approximately 3 to 4 wk) female C57BL/6 mouse. Hematoxylin and eosing stain; magnification, $200 \times (A)$, $1000 \times (B)$.

that SFB exist in both vegetative and dormant forms.¹⁰ Both types of intrasegmental body appear to increase during maturity in the proximal to distal direction, and functional holdfasts or spores are thought to be released from the mature distal segments of the microbe. However, much of the knowledge of the life cycle of SFB has been deduced from microscopy and, because all attempts to culture the organism have been fruitless,^{14,46} there are still many uncertainties regarding these microbes. For example, one can infer that SFB must complete a full life-cycle within 2 to 3 d, given the rapid turnover of intestinal epithelial cells in rodents. Similarly, because SFB are considered obligate anaerobes and because spores are seen free in the lumen of infected rodents,69 SFB presumably spread via inoculation with spores. Although several factors, including diet and the immune status of both the dam and pup, affect colonization by SFB,^{43,47,52} once the organisms are introduced into a colony, they are transmitted vertically and have the potential to become endemic.¹³ Longitudinal studies of the developing ileum have confirmed that SFB appear in juvenile mice at around 20 d of age and that, during the earliest stage of colonization, the SFB themselves are transiently colonized by other rod-shaped bacteria.⁵¹ Soon thereafter, SFB proliferate to the point that they are one of the dominant bacterial genera present in the gut (Figure 3) before receding to the levels seen in adults.78 Given these features, the first few weeks after weaning may represent the optimal testing window for the determination



Figure 2. Transmission-electron–microscopic images demonstrate the holdfast of a segmented filamentous bacterium (SFB) attached to the ileal epithelium of a weanling (age, approximately 3 to 4 wk) female C57BL/6 mouse. Magnification: 27,000× (A); 90,000× (B).

of SFB status in a mouse colony. Current diagnostic modalities include histologic examination of the ileum and PCR-based methods. Histologic examination requires an experienced pathologist to differentiate SFB from the myriad smaller filamentous microbes in the gastrointestinal tract and is a postmortem assay. Alternatively, multiple primer sets designed against the 16S rRNA gene have been published,^{24,77} allowing for noninvasive screening of feces from rodents. This evaluation can easily be performed in-house, and these services are commercially available for rats and mice.

Host Specificity

There is now evidence that SFB-like bacteria exist in a broad range of species, including rhesus macaques (*Macaca mulatta*),⁴⁸



Figure 3. Scanning-electron–microscopic images of segmented filamentous bacteria (SFB) in the ileum of a weanling (age, approximately 3 to 4 wk) female C57BL/6 mouse. Magnification: $1500 \times (A)$; $4500 \times (B)$; $35,000 \times (C)$.

crab-eating macaques (*M. fascicularis*),⁴⁸ vervet monkeys (*Cercopithecine aethiops*),⁶ African gorillas (*Gorilla* spp.),⁶⁷ South African claw-footed toads (*Xenopus laevis*),⁴⁸ carp (*Cyprinus carpio*),⁴⁸ rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*),^{17,92} wood mice (*Apodemus sylvaticus*),⁴⁸ guinea pigs (*Cavia porcellus*),⁴⁶ rabbits (*Oryctolagus cuniculus*),³³ horses (*Equus caballus*),⁵⁸ cattle (*Bos taurus*),⁷⁵ pigs (*Sus scrofa domestica*),⁷⁰ cats (*Felis catus*),⁴⁸ turkeys (*Meleagris gallopavo*),⁵⁶⁸ jackdaws (*Corvus monedula*),⁴⁸ and magpies (*Pica pica*).⁴⁸ Perhaps not surprisingly, SFB have been reported to occur in

humans as well, although there are conflicting data regarding the persistence of colonization of adults. In the first published report of SFB in humans, SFB were visualized via light microscopy and were adherent to biopsied tissue from 1 of the 6 adults examined.48 Conversely, a recent attempt to confirm the presence of SFB in humans by searching for any part of the SFB genome in 263 human metagenomic data sets was unsuccessful. Similarly, attempts to detect SFB by using PCR designed to amplify 5 different SFB-specific genes from 8 freshly evacuated human fecal samples were fruitless also.73 These divergent findings may be rectified by a recent study wherein SFB were found to colonize humans in an age-dependent manner. SFB were detected by PCR in 25% (2 of 8) of infants younger than 6 mo of age and in 78.6% (11 of 14) of infants 7 to 12 mo of age.95 However, the same study reported a prevalence of only 6.2% (10 of 162) in subjects between the ages of 3 and 75 y. Therefore, it appears that SFB commonly colonize the gut of humans early in life but then are cleared in most persons by 3 y of age. An age-dependent decrease in SFB colonization may occur in other species (for example, dogs, chickens, horses, and pigs) as well.33,58 Also of interest is a recent retrospective histologic survey of the ileocecal valves of patients diagnosed with ulcerative colitis or Crohn disease (the 2 primary forms of inflammatory bowel disease) and patients without a history of intestinal inflammation.8 Whereas 100% (6 of 6) patients with ulcerative colitis were colonized by high levels of SFB, the organism was found in none (0 of 6) of the patients with Crohn disease and was present only at low levels in 50% (3 of 6) of the control samples. Clearly the relationship between SFB and inflammatory bowel disease or other conditions in humans merits further investigation.

SFB selectively colonize the ilea of all species examined (with the exception of rainbow trout and carp, which lack well-differentiated ilea, and chickens, in which SFB also colonize the cecal tonsils²⁸). Because SFB are highly dependent on other organisms for nutrients, it is tempting to speculate that the microbes colonize the gut in such a site-specific manner due to a relatively greater availability of a particular host-derived factor, such as cobalamin (vitamin B12), in that region. Alternatively, the ileum is also the region of the gut wherein bacterial densities increase dramatically, potentially providing some essential microbially produced factor. There are also species-specific differences in the predominant site of SFB attachment within the ileum. In pigs and most rodents, SFB adhere to both absorptive villi and the follicle-associated epithelium overlying Peyer patches; in mice and horses, however, there is a reported preference for attachment to the follicle-associated epithelium.^{7,40,46,58} Alternatively, microscopic examination of ilea from dogs,³⁵ cows,⁷⁵ and rabbits³³ revealed SFB attached primarily to the absorptive villi. At the cellular level, SFB appear to be capable of binding to the apical surface of classic absorptive enterocytes, specialized M cells, and goblet cells, as well as to the tight junctions between these cells.7,40,63 In addition, another study documented SFB in direct contact with intraepithelial mononuclear cells.63 The portion of the filament in contact with the host cell appeared to be degenerating, leading to the speculation that the microbe was in an early stage of phagocytosis, a process that has been noted elsewhere.94

The first piece of evidence that SFB exhibit host specificity came from experiments wherein germ-free rats and mice were gavaged with ileal homogenates from both species, in which SFB could subsequently be found in only those animals that had received the ileal microbiota derived from its cognate host species.⁸⁴ Studies performed with mice and chickens reached a similar conclusion,¹ yet the phylogenetic relation of these host-specific SFB to each other and to other microbes remained unclear. A breakthrough in the study of SFB occurred with the successful monoassociation of germ-free mice via intraileal inoculation with ethanol-treated ileal contents of donor mice.49 In the absence of an effective method of culture, this protocol yielded a pure sample for sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene in mouse SFB.76 Comparison of the 16S rRNA sequence of mouse SFB with metagenomic data from rats, chickens, and macaques revealed that the microbes belong to a distinct group within the phylum *Firmicutes*, putatively serving as a novel genus in the order *Clostridiales*.^{36,76,77} Sequencing of the complete genomes of rat and mouse SFB has confirmed that they are indeed closely related to-but distinct from-recognized Clostridium spp.67 In addition, comparison of 16S rRNA sequences of SFB isolated from mice and rats with existing sequences generated from fecal samples of multiple diverse species including gorilla,57 macaque,³⁶ dog,⁸¹ and rainbow trout⁹² demonstrated 94% to 98% nucleotide identity, forming a distinct cluster separate from other *Clostridium* spp. (Figure 4). It should be noted that although SFB have also been reported to occur in numerous invertebrate species, including myriapods, termites, cockroaches, isopods, and beetles,46,56 16S rRNA analysis has placed those microbes in the family Lachnospiraceae, rather than Clostridiaceae, and thus distinct from the SFB found in vertebrate species.85,86 Similarly, reports of long segmented filamentous organisms associated with visceral granulomatous disease,³⁴ stunting syndrome,² diarrhea, and increased mortality²⁹ in chickens, turkeys, and quail must be evaluated cautiously. Considering the presence of these long segmented filamentous organisms in the jejunum,^{2,29} their occasional branching morphology,² and their association with overt disease, it is more likely that these are microbes distinct from SFB, such as perhaps Actinomycetes spp. or Nocardia spp. The fact that microbes more akin to the SFB characterized in rodents have been identified in the cecum of healthy turkey poults^{5,68} makes this interpretation even more likely. Regardless, molecular techniques will need to be used to answer this question definitively.

Genome and Molecular Biology

Considering the mutualism implied by the close association of SFB with the ileal enterocytes and lack of inflammation, Davis and Savage posited that SFB coevolved with mice and rats and that the microbe may rely on the host for nutrients.¹³ Providing strong support for that insight, 3 groups have now independently sequenced the complete genome of mouse SFB54,67,73 with one of them also sequencing the genome of rat SFB. In addition, a fourth group has performed single-cell sequencing of 5 individual SFB filaments.65 The genome of mouse SFB encodes a single circular chromosome of between 1.57 and 1.62 Mb with a G+C content of approximately 28%, similar to that found in other obligate symbionts. SFB possess a remarkably reduced genome relative to closely related Clostridium spp. and lack genes responsible for the synthesis of the majority of amino acids, nucleotides, vitamins, and cofactors. Conversely, several transporter and permease genes, necessary for the uptake of extracellular nutrients, are found in the genomes of both rat and mouse SFB. In addition, SFB likely facilitate the acquisition of nutrients through the degradation of host and dietary proteins; the rat SFB genome contains putative genes for 28 proteases and 53 peptidases, several of which probably are secreted.⁶⁷ Expectedly, there are also many genes involved in sporulation and germination homologous to those found in clostridial species, although the triggers for these processes are unknown. Although exposure to oxygen is presumably one cause for sporulation, the fact that spores are constitutively released in the ileum^{69,75} suggests that sporulation may be an ongoing process in the life cycle of SFB. In addition, the presence of genes encoding peroxidase and catalase proteins implies that SFB may be able to survive in microaerophilic environments.

The presence of multiple coding DNA sequences of bacteriophage origin in the genomes of both rat and mouse SFB imply that SFB are subject to foreign invading DNA. Some of these coding DNA sequences are highly similar to those found in *Clostridium* spp., suggesting horizontal gene transfer may have occurred. In addition, the genomes of both mouse and rat SFB contain clustered, regularly interspaced, and short palindromic repeat (CRIS-PR) loci, which function as acquired components of prokaryotic immune systems. These loci are found in a large percentage of sequenced bacteria and archaea and are thought to serve as an indicator of past exposure to invading DNA.^{3,60}

One of the most fascinating findings in the genomes of rat and mouse SFB is the presence of genes encoding multiple flagellar assembly proteins, including 4 different flagellin loci in rat SFB and 367 or 454 in mouse SFB. Although no evidence of SFB motility has ever been documented, the presence of a complete set of chemotaxis genes and an absence of recognizable pseudogenes in the flagellin gene sets suggest that SFB may possess chemotactically driven flagellar motility.54 That being said, rat SFB also possess genes encoding several type IV pilus proteins,67 previously shown to be involved in a twitching motility.⁶¹ In addition, flagellar assembly proteins can be applied to uses other than motility, such as type 3 secretion systems or as adhesins.73 Therefore, the exact method of motility used by SFB remains a mystery, although the presence of multiple genes involved in chemotaxis, along with the organism's ability to penetrate the mucus layer lining the intestinal epithelium, suggest that SFB are at least transiently motile.

Effects on Host Physiology

SFB historically have been considered members of the commensal microbiota. However, it is now becoming very clear that their presence can have a profound influence on models of intestinal disease as well as systemic immune-mediated diseases. How often has the laboratory animal veterinarian heard the comment "My model worked fine at my old institution"? Could such anecdotes of altered model phenotypes be associated with subtle changes in commensal microbiota, such as the addition of SFB? The following paragraphs highlight the current knowledge regarding the influence of SFB on the development and homeostasis of several components of the mucosal immune system. Given that our understanding of SFB is still in its infancy, the reader is encouraged to regularly review the literature for new information that is likely to emerge about these bacteria, as well as other members of the commensal microbiota.

Interest in a functional role of SFB in host health was initially spurred by evidence suggesting that SFB contributed to colonization resistance to the enteric pathogen *Salmonella enteritidis*.²⁷ One group showed that in rats experimentally infected with a virulent strain of *S. enteritidis*, the presence of SFB and *Salmonella* on the ileal epithelium of individual villi is mutually exclusive, implying

93



Figure 4. Phylogenetic tree based on 16S rRNA sequences of segmented filamentous bacteria (SFB); the 16S sequences from mouse and rat SFB and 3 additional sequences identified in reference 67; 3 published sequences from chicken, rainbow trout, and monkey SFB; and 28 *Clostridium* strains. A distinct clade composed of 16S sequences derived from 8 hosts, including mouse and rat, is boxed. Reprinted from reference 67 with permission of the publisher.

that SFB not only physically compete with pathogenic microbes for binding spots on enterocytes but that they also induce a local response that hinders the ability of *S. enteritidis* to adhere to the epithelium. Similarly, the presence of SFB on the ileal villi of rabbits correlates with resistance to enteropathogenic *Escherichia coli*, another microbe known to enter the host through ileal Peyer patches.³³ Using mice in which the microbiota differed by only the presence or absence of SFB, one group showed that SFB increases resistance to colonization by another microbe of the family *Enterobacteriaceae*, *Citrobacter rodentium*.³⁷ Notably, the influence of SFB on colonization resistance likely requires the presence of other commensal microbes, because SFB-monoassociated mice fare no better than do germ-free mice when challenged with *S. enterica* serovar Typhimurium.¹¹

Effects on the Host Immune System

With the possible exception of rainbow trout,¹⁵⁻¹⁸ no host has ever been documented to show an inflammatory response, either grossly or microscopically, to naturally occurring colonization with SFB. That being said, SFB are clearly not idle bystanders in their interactions with the complex mucosal immune system. Compared with germ-free mice, mice monoassociated with SFB have significantly higher numbers of intestinal IgA-secreting cells and significantly higher IgA titers in the intestines and serum. Remarkably, monoassociation of germ-free mice with SFB restores the production of IgA to levels close to those seen in SFB-negative SPF mice.^{50,91} One group extended these findings to show that SFB induce not only specific IgA production but also 'natural' nonspecific IgA production, with SFB-specific IgA production comprising less than 1.4% of total IgA.⁸³ Conversely, maternal IgA production has been shown to inhibit SFB colonization of pups during suckling,⁴³ perhaps explaining early reports from conventionally housed mice that SFB is not evident in pups until just before weaning.⁷¹ SFB undergo robust proliferation after weaning but then decline to a basal level shortly thereafter, presumably due to host-derived IgA.^{9,64} The presence of IgA apparently impedes the proliferation of SFB in adults also, because mice lacking activation-induced cytidine deaminase, an enzyme critical in hypermutation of IgA, experience a selective overgrowth of SFB despite the presence of other commensal organisms.⁸²

In addition, SFB influence the development of the T-cell repertoire. SFB enhance the development of activated CD45RBlow CD4⁺ T-helper cells in Peyer patches⁸³ and the expansion of both $\alpha \alpha TCR^{-11}$ and $\alpha \beta$ TCR-bearing intraepithelial lymphocytes.^{90,91} In stark contrast to experiments performed with mice monoassociated with various members of the dominant bacterial phyla in the gut (including Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron, B. vulgatus [phylum Bacteroidetes], 3 different Clostridium spp. from the Altered Schaedler Flora [phylum Firmicutes], and E. coli [phylum Proteobacteria]), monoassociation with SFB recapitulates many of the immunologic effects of a complex microbiota.²⁶ To this end, SFB induce a full retinue of homeostatic CD4⁺ T-helper–cell profiles including T_H1, $T_{\rm H}^2$, $T_{\rm H}^2$, $T_{\rm H}^2$, and $T_{\rm reg}^2$ cells, with the most pronounced effect being on the $T_{\rm H}17$ type. The $T_{\rm H}17$ response induced by SFB is linked to the induction of antimicrobial responses and increased colonization resistance to pathogenic Enterobacteriaceae.³⁷ This outcome is likely due to the protective effects of IL22, a canonical $T_{\rm H}$ 17 cytokine known to induce the production of antimicrobial peptides efficacious against bacteria capable of inducing attaching and effacing lesions such as C. rodentium and certain strains of E. coli.96

The presence of flagellar proteins may explain the ability of SFB to induce IgA production as well as $T_{H}17$ immune responses. One laboratory showed that recombinant gene fusion proteins of 3 of the 4 mouse SFB flagellins activated the NFkB signaling pathway in a Toll-like receptor (TLR) 5-dependent manner.⁵⁴ TLR5 is a pattern recognition receptor of the innate immune system expressed primarily on the CD11chiCD11bhi subset of intestinal dendritic cells, and binding of flagellin has been shown to induce class-switching to IgA and the differentiation of T₁₁17 cells.^{88,89} Of note, this subset of dendritic cells was demonstrated to be solely responsible for the differentially greater production of IL17 in the gut of C57BL/6 mice harboring SFB (from Charles River Laboratories) relative to C57BL/6 mice lacking SFB (from The Jackson Laboratory).¹⁹ The binding sites of flagellin and TLR5 have been identified³⁹ and, notably, the specific motif capable of binding TLR5 is highly conserved in the flagellar proteins of SFB, whereas this motif is absent in all commensal *Clostridium* spp. examined except C. sporogenes.⁶⁷ Therefore, it seems plausible that SFB invoke the production of IgA and the differentiation and proliferation of $T_{\rm H}17$ cells through the sensing of SFB-specific flagellin proteins by TLR5 expressed by the CD11chiCD11bhi subset of intestinal dendritic cells.

In addition to adaptive immunity, colonization with SFB influences the innate immune system. Colonization of germ-free mice with SFB—but not a mixture of *Clostridium* spp.—leads to increased expression of MHC class II molecules on intestinal epithelial cells.^{90,91} SFB also influence the glycosylation of enterocytes, specifically inducing the expression of fucosyl asialo GM1 glycolipids, posited to inhibit the attachment of other adherent microbes.^{30,90} In addition, SFB have been found to contribute to innate immunity via enhanced production of IFN γ by NK cells and the induction of RegIII β and RegIII γ on intestinal epithelium,⁴⁵ a result that was duplicated by a different research group.³⁷

Effects on Animal Models

As our understanding of the role of SFB in the maturation of the immune system has grown, several researchers have applied SFB as an experimental variable to assess its effect on inflammatory disease models. In one commonly used model of inflammatory bowel disease, CD4+CD45RBhigh effector subsets of T cells isolated from the spleen of conventional mice are adoptively transferred to SCID mice to induce severe colitis whereas cotransfer of CD4+CD45RBlow cells prevents the development of inflammation.66 As in most models of inflammatory bowel disease, the development of inflammation in the described model is dependent on the presence of intestinal microbiota. Efforts to determine the minimal bacterial community needed to allow for inflammation demonstrated that SCID mice monoassociated with SFB do not develop colitis after transfer of CD4+CD45RBhigh T cells,⁴⁴ whereas SCID mice colonized with a very limited defined (SPF) microbiota develop mild to moderate inflammation by 12 wk after transfer.⁸⁰ Interestingly, the addition of SFB to the SPF microbiota consistently results in severe colitis in recipient mice, suggesting that SFB exert a synergistic effect with other commensal bacteria in providing an environment conducive to intestinal inflammation.80

Collectively, the above findings highlight the importance of SFB in the development and modulation of several components of the mucosal immune system including innate, humoral, and cell-mediated components. Given the importance of mucosal immunity to virtually all models of intestinal disease, the fact that SFB has such profound influence on this system raises many questions regarding its effect on models of intestinal disease. Moreover, there is growing evidence that the mucosal immune system has key interactions with the development and homeostasis of systemic immunity. Therefore the breadth of models potentially altered by the presence or absence of SFB is expanded greatly. For example, other groups have now shown that SFB exacerbates inflammation in models of extraintestinal disease as well, including experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis⁵⁵ and autoimmune arthritis,⁹³ in a T_H17-dependent manner. Interestingly, SFB appear to confer protection from diabetes in nonobese diabetic mice, and in a sex-dependent manner,53 raising another potentially crucial set of questions regarding differential effects of SFB, and perhaps other microbes, on male and female subjects.

Implications Regarding Other Commensal Microbes

The growing evidence that SFB can modulate mucosal health and subsequently alter rodent model phenotypes raises the question "What about other members of the microbiota?" Clearly, SFB are not the first autochthonous bacteria to be identified as having an effect on disease models. *Helicobacter* spp., still prevalent in many research colonies but often unreported in the literature, can be considered commensal microbes in some strains of mice but also may be necessary components for the phenotypes of various disease models.⁵⁹ As with SFB, *Helicobacter* spp. may influence host physiology, such as by affecting immune responses to

other commensal bacteria present in the gut.41,42 Similarly, several conceptual models have been proposed wherein key microbes modulate the composition of the microbiota thereby increasing its overall inflammatory potential.^{31,74,87} Therefore, the widespread influence of various microbes on other members of the microbiota as well as the host, coupled with the fact that the majority of the intestinal microbiota is resistant to culture in vitro, make it highly likely that other gut microbes with unappreciated effects on disease models will be identified in the future. In addition, studies of the human microbiota in persons with various diseases often find correlations between disease and shifts in the relative abundance of higher taxa, such as differences in the ratio of Firmicutes to Bacteroidetes. Although many of these findings are correlative, they beg the question, "Is there a core microbiota in rodents that is necessary for normal mucosal immunity?" If so, what are the functions of that microbiota and the effect of subtle alterations in its composition? We have already begun a paradigm shift in our analyses of the microbiota, through next-generation sequencing technologies and bioinformatics-based approaches. These and other interdisciplinary methods will be needed for inclusive and robust assessments of the microbiota and the identification of other commensal microbes that affect animal models of disease.

Conclusions

Although the existence of SFB has been recognized for several decades, these organisms have entered the forefront of microbial and metagenomic research only in the last several years. Having carried multiple erstwhile names (bacillus of Savage, Candidatus Arthromitus, and now Candidatus Savagella⁶²), SFB have rightly attracted attention due to their role as a 'type species' with the capacity to induce the development of multiple adaptive immune responses in the gut. For microbiologists and immunologists, SFB provide a unique model organism for investigation of the development of the immune system and host-microbe interactions. For all scientists using mice or rats in their research, SFB must be considered as a potential variable with a potential impact on outcomes. Similarly, SFB is but one organism within a complex and dynamic mixture of microbes, and the entire commensal microbiota needs to be considered when model phenotypes are altered or completely lost, particularly when changes in diet or environment are present. It must be remembered that only a minority of intestinal bacteria are amenable to culture,²⁰ and everything known about SFB stems from those first observations of a bacteria with unique morphology and size. Doubtless, molecular metagenomic approaches eventually will identify other microbes with profound effects on host immunology and physiology, offering new insights into human and animal health.

Acknowledgments

We thank the University of Missouri Electron Microscopy Core for assistance in acquiring SEM and TEM images, Don Connor for assistance in formatting images, and Kate Anderson for assistance in acquiring various source materials and formatting references.

References

- 1. Allen PC. 1992. Comparative study of long, segmented, filamentous organisms in chickens and mice. Lab Anim Sci **42**:542–547.
- 2. Angel CR, Sell JL, Fagerland JA, Reynolds DL, Trampel DW. 1990. Long-segmented filamentous organisms observed in poults experimentally infected with stunting syndrome agent. Avian Dis 34:994–1001.

- 3. Barrangou R, Fremaux C, Deveau H, Richards M, Boyaval P, Moineau S, Romero DA, Horvath P. 2007. CRISPR provides acquired resistance against viruses in prokaryotes. Science **315**:1709–1712.
- 4. **Blumershine RV, Savage DC.** 1977. Filamentous microbes indigenous to the murine small bowel: a scanning-electron microscopic study of their morphology and attachment to the epithelium. Microb Ecol **4**:95–103.
- 5. Bohorquez DV, Bohorquez NE, Ferket PR. 2011. Ultrastructural development of the small intestinal mucosa in the embryo and turkey poult: a light- and electron-microscopy study. Poult Sci 90:842–855.
- Bruorton MR, Davis CL, Perrin MR. 1991. Gut microflora of vervet and samango monkeys in relation to diet. Appl Environ Microbiol 57:573–578.
- 7. Caselli M, Holton J, Boldrini P, Vaira D, Calo G. 2010. Morphology of segmented filamentous bacteria and their patterns of contact with the follicle-associated epithelium of the mouse terminal ileum: implications for the relationship with the immune system. Gut Microbes 1:367–372.
- 8. Caselli M, Tosini D, Gafa R, Gasbarrini A, Lanza G. 2013. Segmented filamentous bacteria-like organisms in histological slides of ileocecal valves in patients with ulcerative colitis. Am J Gastroenterol 108:860–861.
- 9. **Cebra JJ.** 1999. Influences of microbiota on intestinal immune system development. Am J Clin Nutr **69**:1046S–1051S.
- 10. **Chase DG, Erlandsen SL.** 1976. Evidence for a complex life cycle and endospore formation in the attached, filamentous, segmented bacterium from murine ileum. J Bacteriol **127**:572–583.
- Chung H, Pamp SJ, Hill JA, Surana NK, Edelman SM, Troy EB, Reading NC, Villablanca EJ, Wang S, Mora JR, Umesaki Y, Mathis D, Benoist C, Relman DA, Kasper DL. 2012. Gut immune maturation depends on colonization with a host-specific microbiota. Cell 149:1578–1593.
- Davis CP, Cleven D, Balish E, Yale CE. 1977. Bacterial association in the gastrointestinal tract of beagle dogs. Appl Environ Microbiol 34:194–206.
- Davis CP, Savage DC. 1974. Habitat, succession, attachment, and morphology of segmented, filamentous microbes indigenous to the murine gastrointestinal tract. Infect Immun 10:948–956.
- 14. **Davis CP, Savage DC.** 1976. Effect of penicillin on the succession, attachment, and morphology of segmented, filamentous microbes in the murine small bowel. Infect Immun **13**:180–188.
- Del-Pozo J, Crumlish M, Ferguson HW, Green DM, Turnbull JF. 2010. A prospective longitudinal study of '*Candidatus arthromitus*'associated rainbow trout gastroenteritis in the UK. Prev Vet Med 94:289–300.
- Del-Pozo J, Crumlish M, Turnbull JF, Ferguson HW. 2010. Histopathology and ultrastructure of segmented filamentous bacteria-associated rainbow trout gastroenteritis. Vet Pathol 47:220–230.
- Del-Pozo J, Turnbull J, Ferguson H, Crumlish M. 2010. A comparative molecular study of the presence of '*Candidatus arthromitus*' in the digestive system of rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss* (Walbaum), healthy and affected with rainbow trout gastroenteritis. J Fish Dis 33:241–250.
- Del-Pozo J, Turnbull JF, Crumlish M, Ferguson HW. 2010. A study of gross, histological, and blood biochemical changes in rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss* (Walbaum), with rainbow trout gastroenteritis (RTGE). J Fish Dis 33:301–310.
- Denning TL, Norris BA, Medina-Contreras O, Manicassamy S, Geem D, Madan R, Karp CL, Pulendran B. 2011. Functional specializations of intestinal dendritic cell and macrophage subsets that control Th17 and regulatory T cell responses are dependent on the T cell:APC ratio, source of mouse strain, and regional localization. J Immunol 187:733–747.
- Eckburg PB, Bik EM, Bernstein CN, Purdom E, Dethlefsen L, Sargent M, Gill SR, Nelson KE, Relman DA. 2005. Diversity of the human intestinal microbial flora. Science 308:1635–1638.

- 21. Erlandsen SL, Chase DG. 1974. Morphological alterations in the microvillous border of villous epithelial cells produced by intestinal microorganisms. Am J Clin Nutr 27:1277–1286.
- Finlay BB, Rosenshine I, Donnenberg MS, Kaper JB. 1992. Cytoskeletal composition of attaching and effacing lesions associated with enteropathogenic *Escherichia coli* adherence to HeLa cells. Infect Immun 60:2541–2543.
- Finlay BB, Ruschkowski S, Dedhar S. 1991. Cytoskeletal rearrangements accompanying *Salmonella* entry into epithelial cells. J Cell Sci 99:283–296.
- 24. Fuentes S, Egert M, Jimenez-Valera M, Monteoliva-Sanchez M, Ruiz-Bravo A, Smidt H. 2008. A strain of *Lactobacillus plantarum* affects segmented filamentous bacteria in the intestine of immunosuppressed mice. FEMS Microbiol Ecol **63:**65–72.
- 25. **Fuller R, Turvey A.** 1971. Bacteria associated with the intestinal wall of the fowl (Gallus domesticus). J Appl Bacteriol **34**:617–622.
- Gaboriau-Routhiau V, Rakotobe S, Lecuyer E, Mulder I, Lan A, Bridonneau C, Rochet V, Pisi A, De Paepe M, Brandi G, Eberl G, Snel J, Kelly D, Cerf-Bensussan N. 2009. The key role of segmented filamentous bacteria in the coordinated maturation of gut helper T-cell responses. Immunity 31:677–689.
- 27. Garland CD, Lee A, Dickson MR. 1982. Segmented filamentous bacteria in the rodent small intestine: their colonization of growing animals and possible role in host resistance to *Salmonella*. Microb Ecol 8:181–190.
- 28. Glick B, Holbrook KA, Olah I, Perkins WD, Stinson R. 1978. A scanning-electron microscope study of the caecal tonsil: the identification of a bacterial attachment to the villi of the caecal tonsil and the possible presence of lymphatics in the caecal tonsil. Poult Sci **57**:1408–1416.
- Goodwin MA, Cooper GL, Brown J, Bickford AA, Waltman WD, Dickson TG. 1991. Clinical, pathological, and epizootiological features of long-segmented filamentous organisms (bacteria, LSFOs) in the small intestines of chickens, turkeys, and quails. Avian Dis 35:872–876.
- 30. Goto Y, Umesaki Y, Benno Y, Kiyono H. 2011. Specific commensal bacteria modulate epithelial glycosylation. J Immunol **186:**59.5.
- Hajishengallis G, Darveau RP, Curtis MA. 2012. The keystonepathogen hypothesis. Nat Rev Microbiol 10:717–725.
- 32. Hampton JC, Rosario B. 1965. The attachment of microorganisms to epithelial cells in the distal ileum of the mouse. Lab Invest 14:1464–1481.
- Heczko U, Abe A, Finlay BB. 2000. Segmented filamentous bacteria prevent colonization of enteropathogenic *Escherichia coli* O103 in rabbits. J Infect Dis 181:1027–1033.
- Hill JE, Kelley LC, Langheinrich KA. 1992. Visceral granulomas in chickens infected with a filamentous bacteria. Avian Dis 36:172– 176.
- Hoskins JD, Henk WG, Abdelbaki YZ. 1982. Scanning electronmicroscopic study of the small intestine of dogs from birth to 337 days of age. Am J Vet Res 43:1715–1720.
- 36. Imaoka A, Okada Y, Matsumoto S, Setoyama H, Umesaki Y. 1997. 16S ribosomal DNA sequence divergence of segmented filamentous bacteria with special reference to inter-species and within-species variation of host animals. Syst Appl Microbiol 20:418–422.
- Ivanov II, Atarashi K, Manel N, Brodie EL, Shima T, Karaoz U, Wei D, Goldfarb KC, Santee CA, Lynch SV, Tanoue T, Imaoka A, Itoh K, Takeda K, Umesaki Y, Honda K, Littman DR. 2009. Induction of intestinal Th17 cells by segmented filamentous bacteria. Cell 139:485–498.
- Ivanov II, Frutos Rde L, Manel N, Yoshinaga K, Rifkin DB, Sartor RB, Finlay BB, Littman DR. 2008. Specific microbiota direct the differentiation of IL17-producing T-helper cells in the mucosa of the small intestine. Cell Host Microbe 4:337–349.
- Jacchieri SG, Torquato R, Brentani RR. 2003. Structural study of binding of flagellin by Toll-like receptor 5. J Bacteriol 185:4243–4247.
- Jepson MA, Clark MA, Simmons NL, Hirst BH. 1993. Actin accumulation at sites of attachment of indigenous apathogenic segmented filamentous bacteria to mouse ileal epithelial cells. Infect Immun 61:4001–4004.

- 41. Jergens AE, Dorn A, Wilson J, Dingbaum K, Henderson A, Liu Z, Hostetter J, Evans RB, Wannemuehler MJ. 2006. Induction of differential immune reactivity to members of the flora of gnotobiotic mice following colonization with *Helicobacter bilis* or *Brachyspira hyodysenteriae*. Microbes Infect **8**:1602–1610.
- 42. Jergens AE, Wilson-Welder JH, Dorn A, Henderson A, Liu Z, Evans RB, Hostetter J, Wannemuehler MJ. 2007. *Helicobacter bilis* triggers persistent immune reactivity to antigens derived from the commensal bacteria in gnotobiotic C3H/HeN mice. Gut 56:934–940.
- 43. Jiang HQ, Bos NA, Cebra JJ. 2001. Timing, localization, and persistence of colonization by segmented filamentous bacteria in the neonatal mouse gut depend on immune status of mothers and pups. Infect Immun **69**:3611–3617.
- 44. Jiang HQ, Kushnir N, Thurnheer MC, Bos NA, Cebra JJ. 2002. Monoassociation of SCID mice with *Helicobacter muridarum*, but not four other enterics, provokes IBD upon receipt of T cells. Gastroenterology **122**:1346–1354.
- 45. Keilbaugh SA, Shin ME, Banchereau RF, McVay LD, Boyko N, Artis D, Cebra JJ, Wu GD. 2005. Activation of RegIIIβ/γand interferon γ expression in the intestinal tract of SCID mice: an innate response to bacterial colonisation of the gut. Gut 54:623–629.
- 46. Klaasen HL, Koopman JP, Poelma FG, Beynen AC. 1992. Intestinal, segmented, filamentous bacteria. FEMS Microbiol Rev 8:165–180.
- 47. Klaasen HL, Koopman JP, van den Brink ME, Bakker MH, Beynen AC. 1992. Influence of a natural-ingredient diet containing *Phaseolus vulgaris* on the colonization by segmented, filamentous bacteria of the small bowel of mice. Int J Vitam Nutr Res **62**:334–341.
- Klaasen HL, Koopman JP, Van den Brink ME, Bakker MH, Poelma FG, Beynen AC. 1993. Intestinal, segmented, filamentous bacteria in a wide range of vertebrate species. Lab Anim 27:141–150.
- Klaasen HL, Koopman JP, Van den Brink ME, Van Wezel HP, Beynen AC. 1991. Mono-association of mice with noncultivable, intestinal, segmented, filamentous bacteria. Arch Microbiol 156:148–151.
- Klaasen HL, Van der Heijden PJ, Stok W, Poelma FG, Koopman JP, Van den Brink ME, Bakker MH, Eling WM, Beynen AC. 1993. Apathogenic, intestinal, segmented, filamentous bacteria stimulate the mucosal immune system of mice. Infect Immun 61:303–306.
- Koopman JP, Stadhouders AM, Kennis HM, De Boer H. 1987. The attachment of filamentous segmented microorganisms to the distal ileum wall of the mouse: a scanning- and transmission-electronmicroscopy study. Lab Anim 21:48–52.
- Koopman JP, van den Brink ME, Scholten PM, van der Heyden M, van Schie FW, Hectors MP, Nagengast F. 1989. The influence of stress and cheese whey on intestinal parameters in mice. Vet Q 11:24–29.
- Kriegel MA, Sefik E, Hill JA, Wu HJ, Benoist C, Mathis D. 2011. Naturally transmitted segmented filamentous bacteria segregate with diabetes protection in nonobese diabetic mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 108:11548–11553.
- 54. Kuwahara T, Ogura Y, Oshima K, Kurokawa K, Ooka T, Hirakawa H, Itoh T, Nakayama-Imaohji H, Ichimura M, Itoh K, Ishifune C, Maekawa Y, Yasutomo K, Hattori M, Hayashi T. 2011. The lifestyle of the segmented filamentous bacterium: a nonculturable gut-associated immunostimulating microbe inferred by whole-genome sequencing. DNA Res 18:291–303.
- Lee YK, Menezes JS, Umesaki Y, Mazmanian SK. 2011. Proinflammatory T-cell responses to gut microbiota promote experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 108 Suppl 1:4615–4622.
- Leidy J. 1881. The parasites of termites. J Acad Nat Sci (Phila) 8:425–447.
- Ley RE, Hamady M, Lozupone C, Turnbaugh PJ, Ramey RR, Bircher JS, Schlegel ML, Tucker TA, Schrenzel MD, Knight R, Gordon JI. 2008. Evolution of mammals and their gut microbes. Science 320:1647–1651.
- Lowden S, Heath T. 1995. Segmented filamentous bacteria associated with lymphoid tissues in the ileum of horses. Res Vet Sci 59:272–274.
- 59. Maggio-Price L, Treuting P, Zeng W, Tsang M, Bielefeldt-Ohmann H, Iritani BM. 2006. *Helicobacter* infection is required for

inflammation and colon cancer in SMAD3-deficient mice. Cancer Res **66**:828–838.

- Marraffini LA, Sontheimer EJ. 2010. CRISPR interference: RNAdirected adaptive immunity in bacteria and archaea. Nat Rev Genet 11:181–190.
- Mattick JS. 2002. Type IV pili and twitching motility. Annu Rev Microbiol 56:289–314.
- 62. McFall-Ngai M, Hadfield MG, Bosch TC, Carey HV, Domazet-Loso T, Douglas AE, Dubilier N, Eberl G, Fukami T, Gilbert SF, Hentschel U, King N, Kjelleberg S, Knoll AH, Kremer N, Mazmanian SK, Metcalf JL, Nealson K, Pierce NE, Rawls JF, Reid A, Ruby EG, Rumpho M, Sanders JG, Tautz D, Wernegreen JJ. 2013. Animals in a bacterial world, a new imperative for the life sciences. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 110:3229–3236.
- Meyerholz DK, Stabel TJ, Cheville NF. 2002. Segmented filamentous bacteria interact with intraepithelial mononuclear cells. Infect Immun 70:3277–3280.
- Ohashi Y, Hiraguchi M, Sunaba C, Tanaka C, Fujisawa T, Ushida K. 2010. Colonization of segmented filamentous bacteria and its interaction with the luminal IgA level in conventional mice. Anaerobe 16:543–546.
- Pamp SJ, Harrington ED, Quake SR, Relman DA, Blainey PC. 2012. Single-cell sequencing provides clues about the host interactions of segmented filamentous bacteria (SFB). Genome Res 22:1107–1119.
- Powrie F, Leach MW, Mauze S, Caddle LB, Coffman RL. 1993. Phenotypically distinct subsets of CD4+ T cells induce or protect from chronic intestinal inflammation in C. B-17 scid mice. Int Immunol 5:1461–1471.
- 67. Prakash T, Oshima K, Morita H, Fukuda S, Imaoka A, Kumar N, Sharma VK, Kim SW, Takahashi M, Saitou N, Taylor TD, Ohno H, Umesaki Y, Hattori M. 2011. Complete genome sequences of rat and mouse segmented filamentous bacteria, a potent inducer of Th17 cell differentiation. Cell Host Microbe 10:273–284.
- Rahimi S, Grimes JL, Fletcher O, Oviedo E, Sheldon BW. 2009. Effect of a direct-fed microbial (Primalac) on structure and ultrastructure of small intestine in turkey poults. Poult Sci 88:491–503.
- Reimann HA. 1965. Microbic phagocytosis by enteric epithelial cells. JAMA 192:1130–1132.
- Sanford SE. 1991. Light- and electron-microscopic observations of a segmented filamentous bacterium attached to the mucosa of the terminal ileum of pigs. J Vet Diagn Invest 3:328–333.
- Savage DC. 1969. Localization of certain indigenous microorganisms on the ileal villi of rats. J Bacteriol 97:1505–1506.
- 72. Savage DC, Dubos R, Schaedler RW. 1968. The gastrointestinal epithelium and its autochthonous bacterial flora. J Exp Med **127:**67–76.
- 73. Sczesnak A, Segata N, Qin X, Gevers D, Petrosino JF, Huttenhower C, Littman DR, Ivanov II. 2011. The genome of Th17 cell-inducing segmented filamentous bacteria reveals extensive auxotrophy and adaptations to the intestinal environment. Cell Host Microbe 10:260–272.
- 74. **Sears CL, Pardoll DM.** 2011. Perspective: α-bugs, their microbial partners, and the link to colon cancer. J Infect Dis **203**:306–311.
- 75. **Smith TM.** 1997. Segmented filamentous bacteria in the bovine small intestine. J Comp Pathol **117:**185–190.
- Snel J, Blok HJ, Kengen HMP, Ludwig FGJ, Poelma FGJ, Koopman JP, Akkermans ADL. 1994. Phylogenetic characterization of *Clostridium* related segmented filamentous bacteria in mice based on 16S ribosomal RNA analysis. Syst Appl Microbiol 17:172–179.
- 77. Snel J, Heinen PP, Blok HJ, Carman RJ, Duncan AJ, Allen PC, Collins MD. 1995. Comparison of 16S rRNA sequences of segmented filamentous bacteria isolated from mice, rats, and chickens and proposal of *Candidatus arthromitus*. Int J Syst Bacteriol 45:780–782.
- Snel J, Hermsen CC, Smits HJ, Bos NA, Eling WM, Cebra JJ. 1998. Interactions between gut-associated lymphoid tissue and colonization levels of indigenous, segmented, filamentous bacteria in the small intestine of mice. Can J Microbiol 44:1177–1182.
- Snellen JE, Savage DC. 1978. Freeze–fracture study of the filamentous, segmented microorganism attached to the murine small bowel. J Bacteriol 134:1099–1107.

- Stepankova R, Powrie F, Kofronova O, Kozakova H, Hudcovic T, Hrncir T, Uhlig H, Read S, Rehakova Z, Benada O, Heczko P, Strus M, Bland P, Tlaskalova-Hogenova H. 2007. Segmented filamentous bacteria in a defined bacterial cocktail induce intestinal inflammation in SCID mice reconstituted with CD45RB^{high}CD4⁺ T cells. Inflamm Bowel Dis 13:1202–1211.
- Suchodolski JS, Camacho J, Steiner JM. 2008. Analysis of bacterial diversity in the canine duodenum, jejunum, ileum, and colon by comparative 16S rRNA gene analysis. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 66:567–578.
- Suzuki K, Meek B, Doi Y, Muramatsu M, Chiba T, Honjo T, Fagarasan S. 2004. Aberrant expansion of segmented filamentous bacteria in IgA-deficient gut. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 101:1981– 1986.
- Talham GL, Jiang HQ, Bos NA, Cebra JJ. 1999. Segmented filamentous bacteria are potent stimuli of a physiologically normal state of the murine gut mucosal immune system. Infect Immun 67:1992–2000.
- Tannock GW, Miller JR, Savage DC. 1984. Host specificity of filamentous, segmented microorganisms adherent to the small bowel epithelium in mice and rats. Appl Environ Microbiol 47:441–442.
- Thompson CL, Mikaelyan A, Brune A. 2013. Immune-modulating gut symbionts are not 'Candidatus arthromitus.' Mucosal Immunol 6:200–201.
- Thompson CL, Vier R, Mikaelyan A, Wienemann T, Brune A. 2012. *'Candidatus arthromitus'* revised: segmented filamentous bacteria in arthropod guts are members of *Lachnospiraceae*. Environ Microbiol **14**:1454–1465.
- Tjalsma H, Boleij A, Marchesi JR, Dutilh BE. 2012. A bacterial driver–passenger model for colorectal cancer: beyond the usual suspects. Nat Rev Microbiol 10:575–582.
- Uematsu S, Akira S. 2009. Immune responses of TLR5⁺ lamina propria dendritic cells in enterobacterial infection. J Gastroenterol 44:803–811.
- Uematsu S, Fujimoto K, Jang MH, Yang BG, Jung YJ, Nishiyama M, Sato S, Tsujimura T, Yamamoto M, Yokota Y, Kiyono H, Miyasaka M, Ishii KJ, Akira S. 2008. Regulation of humoral and cellular gut immunity by lamina propria dendritic cells expressing Toll-like receptor 5. Nat Immunol 9:769–776.
- 90. Umesaki Y, Okada Y, Matsumoto S, Imaoka A, Setoyama H. 1995. Segmented filamentous bacteria are indigenous intestinal bacteria that activate intraepithelial lymphocytes and induce MHC class II molecules and fucosyl asialo GM1 glycolipids on the small intestinal epithelial cells in the ex-germ-free mouse. Microbiol Immunol 39:555–562.
- Umesaki Y, Setoyama H, Matsumoto S, Imaoka A, Itoh K. 1999. Differential roles of segmented filamentous bacteria and clostridia in development of the intestinal immune system. Infect Immun 67:3504–3511.
- Urdaci MC, Regnault B, Grimont PA. 2001. Identification by in situ hybridization of segmented filamentous bacteria in the intestine of diarrheic rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). Res Microbiol 152:67–73.
- Wu HJ, Ivanov II, Darce J, Hattori K, Shima T, Umesaki Y, Littman DR, Benoist C, Mathis D. 2010. Gut-residing segmented filamentous bacteria drive autoimmune arthritis via T helper 17 cells. Immunity 32:815–827.
- Yamauchi KE, Snel J. 2000. Transmission-electron-microscopic demonstration of phagocytosis and intracellular processing of segmented filamentous bacteria by intestinal epithelial cells of the chick ileum. Infect Immun 68:6496–6504.
- 95. Yin Y, Wang Y, Zhu L, Liu W, Liao N, Jiang M, Zhu B, Yu HD, Xiang C, Wang X. 2013. Comparative analysis of the distribution of segmented filamentous bacteria in humans, mice, and chickens. ISME J 7:615–621.
- 96. Zheng Y, Valdez PA, Danilenko DM, Hu Y, Sa SM, Gong Q, Abbas AR, Modrusan Z, Ghilardi N, de Sauvage FJ, Ouyang W. 2008. Interleukin 22 mediates early host defense against attaching and effacing bacterial pathogens. Nat Med 14:282–289.